

Immutables

```
contract Example {  
    address immutable owner;  
  
    constructor() {  
        owner = msg.sender;  
    }  
  
    function withdraw(uint256 amount) public {  
        require(msg.sender == owner); // Requires no sload!  
        ...  
    }  
    ...  
}
```

Immutables

What about immutable arrays and structs?

```
IERC20[] immutable _tokens;  
constructor(IERC20[] memory tokens) {  
    _tokens.length = tokens.length;  
    for (uint256 i = 0; i < tokens.length; ++i)  
        _tokens[i] = tokens[i];  
}  
  
function getTokenIndex(IERC20 token) public view returns (uint256) {  
    for (uint256 i = 0; i < _tokens.length; ++i)  
        if (_tokens[i] == token)  
            return i;  
    revert("invalid token");  
}
```

Immutables

What about immutable arrays and structs?

```
IERC20[] immutable _tokens;  
constructor(IERC20[] memory tokens) {  
    _tokens.length = tokens.length;  
    for (uint256 i = 0; i < tokens.length; ++i)  
        _tokens[i] = tokens[i]; // Is this still "immutable"?  
}  
  
function getTokenIndex(IERC20 token) public view returns (uint256) {  
    for (uint256 i = 0; i < _tokens.length; ++i)  
        if (_tokens[i] == token)  
            return i;  
    revert("invalid token");  
}
```

Immutables

```
contract C {  
    uint[] immutable x;  
    uint[] immutable y;  
    constructor() { ... }  
    function f() public {  
        uint[] immutable z = x;  
        z = y; // z is "immutable"? Really?  
        ...  
    }  
}
```

Immutables

```
contract Example {  
    address immutable owner;  
  
    constructor() {  
        owner = msg.sender; // `owner` is a memory variable  
    }  
  
    function withdraw(uint256 amount) public {  
        // `owner` is a literal filled into the deployed code  
        require(msg.sender == owner);  
  
        ...  
    }  
    ...  
}
```

Immutables -> code data location

- Filling literal values into the bytecode is not an option for dynamic types.
- Instead we need to rely on *codecopy*.
- Why not pass dynamic immutables around by reference?
- Why not slice them?

Immutables will become a new data location!

Immutables -> code data location

```
bytes code _data;  
// In the constructor `_data` behaves similar to a `memory` variable.  
constructor() { _data = new bytes(32); _data[0] = ...; ... }  
// In runtime code `_data` behaves similar to a `calldata` reference.  
function f(bytes code partOfData) { ... }  
function g(bool which) {  
    bytes code firstHalfOfData = _data[0:_data.length/2];  
    bytes code secondHalfOfData = _data[_data.length/2:_data.length];  
    f(which ? firstHalfOfData : secondHalfOfData );  
}
```

- A bit tricky to type-check (creation + runtime pass).
- Still needs some gas considerations (no `codeload` opcode).

User-Defined Value Types

```
// Introduce a new type without any properties or implicit conversions,  
// based on an underlying built-in value type.  
// T.wrap / T.unwrap to convert from/to underlying type.  
// Uses the underlying type in the ABI.  
  
type Fixed is uint128;  
  
uint128 constant FixedMultiplier = 10**18;  
  
function uintToFixed(uint128 a) pure returns (Fixed) {  
    return Fixed.wrap(a * FixedMultiplier);  
}  
...  
...
```

User-Defined Value Types

```
...
// Add functions to the new type globally (only for types defined in same file).
// No need to repeat "using".
using {add, mul} for Fixed global;
function add(Fixed a, Fixed b) pure returns (Fixed) {
    return Fixed.wrap(Fixed.unwrap(a) + Fixed.unwrap(b));
}
function mul(Fixed a, Fixed b) pure returns (Fixed) {
    uint result = (uint(Fixed.unwrap(a)) * uint(Fixed.unwrap(b))) /
        uint(FixedMultiplier);
    require(result <= type(uint128).max);
    return Fixed.wrap(uint128(result));
}
// In a different file:
function square(Fixed x) pure returns (Fixed) {
    return x.mul(x);
}
```

Soon: User-Defined Operators and Literals

```
...
using {add as +, mul as *} for Fixed global;
function square(Fixed value) pure returns (Fixed) {
    return value * value;
}
// special "literal suffix" function
function f(uint128 val, uint8 exp) pure returns (Fixed) {
    return Fixed.wrap(val * 10**(18 - exp));
}
function addVAT(Fixed value) pure returns (Fixed) {
    // Same as mul(value, f(115, 2))
    return value * 1.15 f;
}
```

User-Defined Data Types

- So far only user-defined **value** types.
- What about arrays, structs, dynamic types?
- Algebraic data types?
- What about data locations?
- Option: Tie data locations to types instead of variables.

```
type EncapsulatedMemoryArray is uint256[] memory;
type EncapsulatedCalldataStruct is S calldata;
```

User-Defined Data Types

- All this, user-defined container types, etc., increases the need for *generics*.
- Can currently builtin types be “user”-defined instead?

Standard Library

- Move manually hard-coded compiler implementations to user-code.
- Ship as a compiler-integrated standard library.

```
pragma stdlib;  
  
import {addmod} from "std/math.sol";  
  
... w = addmod(x, y, z); ...
```

```
// File: "std/math.sol"  
  
function addmod(uint x, uint y, uint modulus) pure returns (uint result) {  
    require(modulus != 0);  
    assembly { result := addmod(x, y, modulus) }  
}
```

Standard Library

- Limited by being restricted to monomorphic functions.
- Full potential only unleashed with generics.
- Not only move builtin functions, but also builtin types.
(then defined as “user”-defined data types in the standard library)
- End goal:

**Reduce solidity to a small, simple core language
with most of the current feature set implemented
in a Solidity-written standard library.**

Generics

- Logically grounded type system with products, sums, function types (cartesian closed category).
- System of type classes (Haskell), resp. traits (Rust).
- Polymorphic functions and ad-hoc polymorphism using type classes.
- General algebraic data types.
- Compile-time constant expression evaluation.
- Maybe linear types (basis for Rust's borrow checker).

Generics

```
struct ResizableArray<T> {  
    uint size;  
    T[] data;  
}  
  
function append(ResizableArray<T> array, T value) {  
    if (array.size >= array.data.length) {  
        // resize  
        T[] newData = new T[](array.data.length * 2);  
        for (uint i = 0; i < array.data.length; ++i)  
            newData[i] = array.data[i];  
        array.data = newData;  
    }  
    array.data[array.size++] = value;  
}  
  
function index_access(ResizableArray<T> array, uint256 index) {  
    require(index < array.size);  
    return array.data[index];  
}  
  
using {append, index_access as []} for ResizableArray;
```

Generics

```
struct ResizableArray<T::CanLiveInMemory> {
    uint size;
    T[] memory data;
}

function append(ResizableArray<T> memory array, T value) {
    if (array.size >= array.data.length) {
        // resize
        T[] memory newData = new T[](array.data.length * 2);
        for (uint i = 0; i < array.data.length; ++i)
            newData[i] = array.data[i];
        array.data = newData;
    }
    array.data[array.size++] = value;
}

function index_access(ResizableArray<T> memory array, uint256 index) {
    require(index < array.size);
    return array.data[index];
}

using {append, index_access as []} for ResizableArray;
```

Generics

```
// Memory array as pointer to memory offset storing the size followed by the data.  
type<T> T[] memory is StackSlot;  
  
function index_access(T[] memory x, uint256 index) returns (T result)  
{  
    StackSlot mptr = (T[] memory).unwrap(x);  
    uint256 offset = 32 + index * 32;  
    assembly ("memory-safe") {  
        let size := mload(mptr)  
        if iszero(lt(index, size)) { revert(0, 0) /* out of bounds */ }  
        result := mload(add(mptr, offset))  
    }  
}  
  
using {index_access as []} for T[] memory global;
```

Generics

```
// Memory array as tuple of memory offset of data and size (sliceable!).  
type<T> T[] memory is (StackSlot, StackSlot);  
  
function index_access(T[] memory x, uint256 index) returns (T result)  
{  
    (StackSlot mptr, StackSlot size) = (T[] memory).unwrap(x);  
    uint256 offset = index * 32;  
    assembly ("memory-safe") {  
        if iszero(lt(index, size)) { revert(0, 0) /* out of bounds */ }  
        result := mload(add(mptr, offset))  
    }  
}  
  
using {index_access as []} for T[] memory global;
```

Generics

```
type<N> uint<N> = StackSlot;  
using { add<N> as +, mul<N> as *, ... } for uint<N>;  
type_alias uint8 = uint<8>;  
type_alias uint16 = uint<16>;  
...  
type_alias uint256 = uint<256>;
```

Generics

- Still in early design phase.
- Several conceptual iterations away from a final semantic design.
- No concrete syntax yet.
- Tradeoff between generality and fixed semantic properties usable for optimization.

- **Allow more precomputation.**
(code data location; compile-time constant expression evaluation)
- **Make the language extensible and self-defining.**
(improved user-defined data types; standard library; generics)

also:

- **Finally stop wasting memory.**
(Life-time analysis, potentially on the Solidity instead of the Yul level)
- **Move completely towards via-IR codegen.**
(increase performance; more debugging data for better tooling support)



Thank you!

To participate in language design or for any feedback reach out to us:

- <https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/latest/contributing.html>
- Forum (<https://forum.soliditylang.org/>)
- Chat (https://matrix.to/#/#ethereum_solidity-dev:gitter.im)



@solidity_lang