

Read-only Reentrancy

Ioannis Sachinoglou

ChainSecurity

About ChainSecurity

- We are focused on blockchain security
- Smart contract audits
- Some of our clients:
 - Maker
 - Curve.fi
 - Compound
 - Aave
 - Yearn
 - 1inch
 - o Lido



Why we should care

- It's a novel attack often neglected by developers and auditors
- More and more protocols interact with one another
- It has affected DeFi protocols integrating with Curve.fi
- Total of over \$100 million dollars at risk

Affected Protocol	Funds (\$) At Risk
MakerDAO	~5M
Enzyme	~1M
Abracadabra	~100M
TribeDAO	~20M
Opyn	~6M

What is Reentrancy

- Execution is interrupted e.g. ETH or ERC777 transfers
- The state has not been fully updated
- The control flow is passed to another contract
- DAO hack: One of the most famous attacks!
- We are usually concerned with entry points that modify the state!

What is Reentrancy

•••

contract Reentrant {

```
mapping (address => uint256) private userBalances;
uint256 totalSupply;
```

```
function withdrawAll() external {
    uint256 balance = userBalances[msg.sender];
    require(balance > 0, "Insufficient balance");
    totalSupply -= balance;
    (bool success, ) = msg.sender.call{value: balance}("");
    require(success, "Failed to send Ether");
    userBalances[msg.sender] = 0;
```



What is read-only Reentrancy

•••

```
1 contract Reentrant {
     bool private lock;
     mapping (address => uint256) public userBalances;
     uint256 public totalSupply;
     modifier nonReentrant() {
            require(!lock);
            lock = true;
     function withdrawAll() external nonReentrant {
            uint256 balance = userBalances[msg.sender];
            require(balance > 0, "Insufficient balance");
            totalSupply -= balance;
            (bool success, ) = msg.sender.call{value: balance}("");
            require(success, "Failed to send Ether");
            userBalances[msg.sender] = 0;
```

	Attacker		Reentrant	
		Reentrant.withdrawAll() msg.sender.call()		
Use the ra userBala totalSupp	nces(Attacker) /		1. Reentrant.userBalances(Attacker)2. Reentrant.totalSupply()	
		Victim: DeFi P	rotocol	

Curve.fi: StableSwapSTETH

The pool holds ETH (native) and stETH (ERC20)


```
1 @nonreentrant(lock)
```

2 def remove_liquidity(_amount: uint256,_min_amounts: uint256[N_COINS]) -> uint256[N_COINS]:

```
CurveToken(lp_token).burnFrom(msg.sender, _amount)
```

```
for i in range(N_COINS):
```

```
if i == 0:
```

```
raw_call(msg.sender, b"", value=value)
```

```
else:
```

The token_supply of the lp_token is modified but not all the balances have been updated.


```
1 def get_virtual_price() -> uint256:
    D: uint256 = self.get_D(self._balances(), self._A())
    return D * PRECISION / token_supply
```

get_virtual_price() depends on the balances and the token_supply

Final thoughts

- The storage update is not yet finalized
- We just READ the state and make a decision based on it!
- Reentrancy locks for state changing functions is NOT enough!
- For new protocols: The view functions should revert if the lock

is taken or make the lock public

For the rest: try to call a function with non-reentrant modifier



Non-technical read

Thank you!

Ioannis Sachinoglou

ChainSecurity ioannis.sachinoglou@chainsecurity.com



Technical read