Blockchain for a few or for really saving humanity?

Climate collapse or Social transformation?
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No climate balance, No blockchain

The Paris Agreement was built over the biggest scientific consensus in the history of Humankind.

We are reaching its threshold faster than expected: already 1.1 degrees above pre-industrial levels and counting.

WMO 2030 forecast: **1.5 disasters a day!** (earthquakes, tsunamis, electricity infrastructure loss: no internet, no blockchain)

More than 1.5D means massive migrations, civilization collapse and potential **extinction**...
Not what you think, but how do you FEEL with this information?

All type of negation mechanisms (no time, governments fault, this guy is an asshole, panic and paralyzation, etc.)??

OR

PROCESS and ACTION!!
The mislead in the approach. The “all-in” SDGs

Even if the whole world goes 100% renewables tomorrow, indefinite economic growth will not allow the 1.5°C Minerals for the energy transition to renewables at current economic growth trends imply over 3B tons by 2050 (WB) and so massive oil burn in other sectors.

Trade offs on other SDGs (pollution, inequalities...)

“We are moving backwards in relation to the majority of the Sustainable Development Goals” UN-SG 2022
“You can’t always get what you want” (like all-in SDGs)

…but if you try some time, you just might find you get what you **NEED**.”
Perfect example of mislead: 25 years of the VCM
Few-to-few approach while emissions rising...

Rich offset buyers  Rich middlemen  Rich developers
But blockchain itself won’t reverse greenwashing

“...Fears of 'subprime' carbon assets stall crypto rainforest mission
BY EARIO TUXEIRA AND AVI LASHLER-SCHAPIRO · 13 SEPTEMBER 2023

Profit not people...

“A crypto company in Brazil has been accused of packaged up more than 2 million carbon credits and turned them into crypto tokens, at times selling the credits for up to nearly eight times what it had originally paid to developers... the auditor who initially approved the project a decade ago, found that deforestation may have been displaced to land nearby... and the company did not trust the project’s own assertion it had created 150 green jobs...”

...'Wolf of Amazon'
Although it has been built for inherent transparency. Then we should focus on:

- **Upstream**, replacing few big offsets for mainstreaming small distributed nature investors
- **“AUTOMATIZE THE CENTER”**
- **Downstream**, allowing humans defending the ecosystems they live-in to access distributed finance.

...a many-to-many approach to reach the real conservationists with distributed finance.
So why not supporting a more sincere agenda? Through a DAO?

500 scholars from 30 countries just presented the UN a letter suggesting to replace the SDGs for:

- Locally-led climate adaptation and cooperation for disaster risk reduction.
- Direct democracy in the territorial decisions.
- What if we make it together by offering them blockchain governance capacity building?

“...people do not need experts to dream for them. We can pursue our own dreams, while the government and aid agencies help with the increasing risks we all face due to environmental deterioration...”
...then blockchain could be the means for real transformation.

The pilot for Chaco, Argentina: “many-to-many” locally-led climate adaptation

What if we use the government “claimed decision” of delegating mandates through smart contracts??

A precedent for using the current infrastructure of the states to reach the territories?
And what about the transition?

Eco-Registry model


Try to keep it as transparent as possible.

Efficiency of 50-70% should attract more "many" to offer supply.
What kind of growth and for whom?

A digital future on a global scale

Grow Ethereum until it's powerful enough to help all of humanity.
Thank you!
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